STATE OF CALIFORNIA	For Court Us	e Only:	
Department of Industrial Relations			
Labor Commissioner's Office			
1500 Hughes Way, Ste. C-202			
Long Beach, CA 90810			
EMAIL: laborcomm.wca.lbo@d	lir.ca.gov		
FAX: (562) 684-0662			
Plaintiff:	Court Number	er:	
Defendant:	1		
Gold Gate Capital, a California Corpo	ration		
Case No.: CM-620058	ORDER, DECISION OR AWARD OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER		

1. The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before the Labor Commissioner of the State of California as follows:

DATE: 5/19/2021 9:30 AM

CITY: 1500 Hughes Way, Ste. C-202, Long Beach, CA 90810

2. IT IS ORDERED THAT: Plaintiff recover from Defendant:

	Balance Due to Employee(s)	Interest Balance Due	Line Total
REGULAR WAGES	\$3,800.00	\$1,031.73	\$4,831.73
COMMISSION WAGES	\$5,500.00	\$1,493.29	\$6,993.29
OVERTIME WAGES	\$8,437.50	\$2,290.84	\$10,728.34
WAITING TIME PENALTIES	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$6,000.00
Totals	\$23,737.50	\$4,815.86	\$28,553.36

- 3. The herein Order, Decision or Award is based upon the Findings of Fact, Legal Analysis and Conclusions attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. The parties herein are notified and advised that this Order, Decision or Award of the Labor Commissioner shall become final and enforceable as a judgment in a court of law unless either or both parties exercise their right to appeal to the appropriate court\* within ten (10) days of service of this document. Service of this document can be accomplished either by first class mail or by personal delivery and is effective upon mailing or at the time of personal delivery. If service on the parties is made by mail, the ten (10) day appeal period shall be extended by five (5) days. For parties served outside of California, the period of extension is longer (See Code of Civil Procedure Section 1013). In case of appeal, the necessary filing fee must be paid by the appellant and appellant must, immediately upon filing an appeal with the appropriate court, serve a copy of the appeal request upon the Labor Commissioner. If an appeal is filed by a corporation, a non-lawyer agent of the corporation may file the Notice of Appeal with the appropriate court, but the corporation must be represented in any subsequent trial by an attorney, licensed to practice in the State of California. Labor Code Section 98.2(c) provides that if the party seeking review by filing an appeal to the court is unsuccessful in such appeal, the court shall determine the costs and reasonable attorney's fees incurred by the other party to the appeal and assess such amount as a cost upon the party filing the appeal. An employee is successful if the court awards an amount greater than zero. PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: Labor Code Section 98.2(b) requires that as a condition to filing an appeal of an Order, Decision or Award of the Labor Commissioner, the employer shall first post a bond or undertaking with the court in the amount of the ODA; and the employer shall provide written notice to the other parties and the Labor Commissioner of the posting of the undertaking. Labor Code Section 98.2(b) also requires the undertaking contain other specific conditions for distribution under the bond. While this claim is before the Labor Commissioner, you are required to notify the Labor Commissioner in writing of any changes in your business or personal address within 10 days after change occurs.

Notice Date: June 4, 2021

Governor George Deukmejian Courthouse 275 MAGNOLIA AVE LONG BEACH, CA 90802

Ву

Mary Schamer, Hearing Officer

# BEFORE THE LABOR COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. CM-620058 EE

Plaintiff

V.
ORDER, DECISION OR AWARD
OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER

GOLD GATE CAPITAL,
A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION

Defendant

Defendant

OCASE NO. CM-620058 EE

ORDER, DECISION OR AWARD
OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER

OCASE NO. CM-620058 EE

OCASE NO. CM-6

#### **BACKGROUND**

Plaintiff filed an initial claim with the Labor Commissioner's Office on September 17, 2018. The Complaint raises the following allegations:

For the period of April 30, 2018 to September 17, 2018:

- 1. Claiming regular wages in the amount of \$3,800.00;
- 2. Claiming overtime wages in the amount of \$8,437.50;
- 3. Claiming commission wages in the amount of \$5,500.00; and
- 4. Waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor Code Section 203.

A telephonic hearing was conducted in Long Beach, California, on May 19, 2021, before the undersigned hearing officer designated by the Labor Commissioner to hear this matter.

Plaintiff appeared in pro per. Defendant failed to appear either in person or by representative. Defendant has not filed an answer to Plaintiff's complaint.

Due consideration having been given to the testimony, documentary evidence and arguments presented, the Labor Commissioner hereby adopts the following Order, Decision or Award.

# 

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

Plaintiff was employed by Defendant to perform services as an IRA manager/sales representative for the period April 30, 2017 to September 17, 2018, in Los Angeles County, California, under the terms of an oral agreement at the promised rates of \$25.00 per hour. He worked an average of ten or more hours per day, five days per week. He sometimes worked on weekends.

Plaintiff testified that his paychecks would be inconsistently different each pay period. He would be paid \$12.00 per hour or \$20.00 per hour. His pay checks did not reflect the number of hours worked or even the hourly rate would be missing in some. The missing hourly rates were actually paid at the \$25.00 hourly rate. Plaintiff submitted copies of his pay checks in support of his testimony. He stated that his agreed upon hourly rate was \$25.00. He calculated the difference and is owed another 152 regular hours in the amount of \$3,800.00.

Plaintiff testified that there was no time keeping system so he created a journal of his own hours worked. Plaintiff submitted a copy of a page of the journal. After calculating the regular wages owed, Plaintiff also found that he worked at least 2.5 overtime hours each day and came up with 225 overtime hours not paid. He stated that he did not count the three holidays during this period of time. Plaintiff testified that he would call customers, took part in conference calls, follow up calls to customers and the paperwork associated with the job. He stated that he also was responsible for updating the company's website that he did after hours. Plaintiff testified that he sent emails to Kyle Winn, CEO, regarding the overtime issue and was told that he would get with ADP to correct the issue. Plaintiff stated that nothing ever came of the email exchange. Plaintiff is claiming \$8,437.50 in overtime wages.

Plaintiff testified that he was to earn 5% on any sales of precious metals he was involved in selling. He stated that he never received a written commission structure but he was verbally told it was 5%. Plaintiff submitted a commission summary that reflected two sales he made on August 24, 2018 (sales \$15,000) and August 27, 2018 (sales \$95,000). He should have received

5% of the sales in the total amount of \$5,500.00. He stated that he never received commission wages and is claiming such.

In addition, Plaintiff is claiming interest and waiting time penalties.

#### LEGAL ANALYSIS

Defendant was properly served with Notice of Hearing in this matter. Defendant failed to attend the scheduled hearing or to submit an Answer to the Complaint, there is nothing before the Labor Commissioner from or on behalf of Defendant that would deny or mitigate Plaintiff's Complaint or testimony.

Plaintiff's testimony was reasonable and credible as evidenced by his demeanor and forthright answers to the Hearing Officer's questions. Plaintiff also submitted documentary evidence in support of his testimony.

Defendant's business is subject to the provisions of IWC Order No.4, which regulates wages, hours and working conditions in the professional, technical, clerical, mechanical and similar occupations.

### ISSUE: Regular Wages

Section 2 (G) of the Order defines "Hours worked" as "the time which an employee is subject to the control of an employer, and includes all the time the employee is suffered or permitted work, whether or not required to do so."

Defendant failed to attend the scheduled hearing or to submit an Answer to the Complaint, there is nothing before the Labor Commissioner from or on behalf of Defendant that would deny or mitigate Plaintiff's Complaint. There being nothing to the contrary, Plaintiff is due unpaid overtime wages in the amount of \$3,800.00 as claimed.

#### ISSUE: Overtime Wages

Section 3 (A) of the Order provides that employees shall not be employed more than eight hours in any workday or more than forty hours in any workweek unless the employee receives one and one-half times such employees regular rate of pay for all hours worked over eight in any workday and for the first eight hours on the seventh day of work, and double the employee's regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of twelve hours in any workday and for all hours worked over eight on the seventh day of work in the workweek.

Defendant failed to attend the scheduled hearing or to submit an Answer to the Complaint, there is nothing before the Labor Commissioner from or on behalf of Defendant that would deny or mitigate Plaintiff's Complaint. There being nothing to the contrary, Plaintiff is due unpaid overtime wages in the amount of \$8,437.50 as claimed.

#### ISSUE: Commission Wages

Labor Code 204.1 defines commissions as, Commissions paid to any person for services rendered in the sale of such employer's property or services and based proportionately upon the amount or value thereof.

Plaintiff testified that he was to receive 5% of the sales he made. He was not paid for two sales he made in August 2018. Defendant failed to attend the scheduled hearing or to submit an Answer to the Complaint, there is nothing before the Labor Commissioner from or on behalf of Defendant that would deny or mitigate Plaintiff's Complaint. There being nothing to the contrary, Plaintiff is due commission wages in the amount of \$5,500.00 as claimed.

#### Labor Code Section 98.1(c):

All awards granted pursuant to a hearing under this chapter shall accrue interest on all due and unpaid wages at the same rate as prescribed by subdivision (b) of Section 3289 of the Civil Code. The interest shall accrue until the wages are paid from the date that the wages were due and payable as provided in Part 1 (commencing with Section 200) of Division 2.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

/////

2627

Plaintiff is due \$4,815.86 as interest.

## ISSUE: Waiting Time Penalties

Labor Code section 203 establishes that "If an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in accordance with Sections 201,201.5, 202, and 205.5, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an action therefore is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days."

Defendant did not pay final wages in full and owes Plaintiff additional wages of \$6,000.00as a penalty (\$25.00 x 8 hours per day x 30 days).

## **CONCLUSIONS**

For all of the reasons set forth above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant pay Plaintiff:

- 1. \$3,800.00 as regular wages.
- 2. \$8,437.50 as overtime wages.
- 3. \$5,500.00 as commission wages
- 4. \$4,815.86 as interest pursuant to Labor Code Sections 98.1(c).
- 5. \$6,000.00 as waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor Code Section 203.

Dated: June 4, 2021

Mary Schamer

Hearing Officer